

An Improved Heat Kernel Expansion From Wordline Path Integrals

D. FLIEGNER, P. HABERL, M.G. SCHMIDT

*Institut für Theoretische Physik
Universität Heidelberg
Philosophenweg 16
D-69120 Heidelberg (Germany)*

C. SCHUBERT

*Institut für Hochenergiephysik Zeuthen
Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY
Platanenallee 6
D-15738 Zeuthen (Germany)*

Abstract

The one-loop effective action for the case of a massive scalar loop in the background of both a scalar potential and an abelian or non-abelian gauge field is written in a one-dimensional path integral representation. From this the inverse mass expansion is obtained by Wick contractions using a suitable Green function, which allows the computation of higher order coefficients. For the scalar case, explicit results are presented up to order $\mathcal{O}(T^8)$ in the proper time expansion. The relation to previous work is clarified.

Talk given by P. Haberl at the Conference *Heat Kernel Techniques and Quantum Gravity*, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg (Canada), Aug 2-6 1994

The calculation of one-loop effective actions as determinants of certain operators M has a long history, and numerous representations in closed form or as expansions are available [1]. A common starting point is to write the one-loop determinant in the Schwinger proper time representation,

$$\Gamma_{\text{eff}} = -\ln(\det M) = -\text{Tr}(\ln M) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} \text{Tr} e^{-TM}, \quad (1)$$

where a suitable regularization procedure is implicitly understood. In the conventional approach, the operator trace is written as the diagonal element of the heat kernel, which is then evaluated using recursive or nonrecursive methods.

The terms of an expansion of the effective action can be grouped in various ways, leading to different approximations. For instance, Schwinger-type formulas [2] are obtained from terms where a fixed number of derivatives act on an arbitrary number of external fields. Conversely, all terms with a fixed number of external fields but arbitrarily many derivatives lead to the Barvinsky–Vilkovisky form factors [3]. In applications to physics one is often interested in an effective theory obtained from integrating out heavy degrees of freedom. In this case, the relevant contributions to low energy physics are the first terms of an inverse mass expansion. In the following we will consider this approach, which results from expanding the exponential in eq. (1) in powers of the proper time T .

In recent years there has been growing interest in the explicit form of higher order coefficients of the inverse mass expansion, especially from calculations of quark determinants in QCD [4] and from considerations on the electroweak phase transition. In the calculation of the fluctuation determinant around a sphaleron configuration [5], it was observed that the slow convergence of the series made it difficult to arrive at quantitative statements, and that therefore it would be desirable to push the expansion as high as possible. This has also been the original motivation for our work.

Our main emphasis is therefore on the actual computation of higher order coefficients in the inverse mass expansion. With conventional techniques this turns out to be a tedious task, with the highest results known to us being of order $\mathcal{O}(T^6)$ for the ungauged theory [6] and $\mathcal{O}(T^5)$ for the gauged case [7].

The method we will discuss in the following makes use of recent progress in calculating one-loop amplitudes in field theory. In the basic work of Bern and Kosower [8], ordinary amplitudes were represented as superstring amplitudes in the limit of infinite string tension. This leads to new rules for evaluating one-loop diagrams which are in many aspects superior to conventional methods. For instance, making use of certain supersymmetry transformations allows to derive

simple relations between scalar and spinor loops. Later, Strassler [9] showed that – at least for the cases we will consider – the set of one-loop Bern–Kosower rules can equivalently be obtained from one-dimensional path integrals.

It is well known that one-loop effective actions can at least formally be written as one-dimensional path integrals [10]. The new aspect of the present work is the actual evaluation of the path integral, applying the techniques of Bern, Kosower and Strassler. The calculations turn out to be more efficient than conventional heat kernel methods. Among the advantages are the small number of terms produced in intermediate steps and that the results are obtained automatically in a “minimal” basis of effective operators, without further use of partial integrations. Finally, the method is well suited to computerization and allowed us to push the inverse mass expansion to $\mathcal{O}(T^{11})$ for the ungauged theory.

Let us first discuss the case of a massive scalar loop in the background of both a gauge field (abelian or non-abelian) and a generally matrix-valued scalar potential, for which the fluctuation operator M takes the form

$$M = -D^2 + m^2 + V(x) \quad \text{with} \quad D_\mu = \partial_\mu - igA_\mu. \quad (2)$$

The following calculations are performed in d -dimensional euclidean space-time. Operator Traces will be denoted by Tr , while ordinary matrix traces are written as tr . Starting with the proper time integral

$$\Gamma[A, V] = \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \text{Tr} \exp[-T(-D^2 + V)] \quad (3)$$

one evaluates the trace in x -space and decomposes the interval T in the exponent, yielding ($x_N = x_0 = x$):

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[A, V] &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \text{tr} \int \langle x | \exp[-T(-D^2 + V)] | x \rangle \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \text{tr} \int \prod_{i=0}^N dx_i \langle x_i | \exp\left[-\frac{T}{N}(-D^2 + V)\right] | x_{i-1} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Inserting complete sets of momentum states $\int |p_i\rangle \langle p_i|$ and performing the usual Gaussian integration we obtain in the limit of $N \rightarrow \infty$ a representation of $\Gamma[A, V]$ as a one-dimensional path integral on the space of closed loops in space-time with fixed circumference T ,

$$\Gamma[A, V] = \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \text{tr} \mathcal{P} \int_{x(T)=x(0)} \mathcal{D}x \exp\left[-\int_0^T d\tau \left(\frac{\dot{x}^2}{4} + ig\dot{x}^\mu A_\mu + V(x)\right)\right], \quad (5)$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes path ordering. The worldline path integral is then evaluated by Wick contractions using the Green function of the Laplacian on the circle with periodic boundary conditions [10,9,11]. Since the defining equation

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1^2} G_B(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \quad (6)$$

has no solution – similar to the case of the Poisson equation for a charge in compact space – one has to add a “background charge” on the worldline. With a uniform background charge ρ eq. (6) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_1^2} G_B(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - \rho(\tau_1) = \delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - \frac{1}{T}, \quad (7)$$

with the solution

$$\begin{aligned} G_B(\tau_1, \tau_2) &= |\tau_1 - \tau_2| - \frac{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^2}{T}, \\ \dot{G}_B(\tau_1, \tau_2) &= \text{sign}(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - \frac{2}{T}(\tau_1 - \tau_2). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Elementary fields are thus contracted using the following rules:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x^\mu(\tau_1) x^\nu(\tau_2) \rangle &= -g^{\mu\nu} G_B(\tau_1, \tau_2) = -g^{\mu\nu} \left[|\tau_1 - \tau_2| - \frac{(\tau_1 - \tau_2)^2}{T} \right], \\ \langle \dot{x}^\mu(\tau_1) x^\nu(\tau_2) \rangle &= -g^{\mu\nu} \dot{G}_B(\tau_1, \tau_2) = -g^{\mu\nu} \left[\text{sign}(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - \frac{2(\tau_1 - \tau_2)}{T} \right], \\ \langle \dot{x}^\mu(\tau_1) \dot{x}^\nu(\tau_2) \rangle &= +g^{\mu\nu} \ddot{G}_B(\tau_1, \tau_2) = +g^{\mu\nu} \left[2\delta(\tau_1 - \tau_2) - \frac{2}{T} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

However, the introduction of a background charge causes a problem: the Green function G_B cannot be applied to the full path integral directly, for partial integration on the circle yields the identity

$$\int_0^T d\tau_2 \frac{1}{2} G_B(\tau_1, \tau_2) \ddot{x}(\tau_2) = x(\tau_1) - \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T d\tau_2 x(\tau_2), \quad (10)$$

where the second term should vanish. One should therefore integrate over relative loop coordinates only, introducing a loop center of mass x_0 ,

$$x^\mu(\tau) = x_0^\mu + y^\mu(\tau) \quad (11)$$

with

$$\int_0^T d\tau y^\mu(\tau) = 0, \quad (12)$$

and extracting the integral over the center of mass from the path integral:

$$\int \mathcal{D}x = \int d^d x_0 \int \mathcal{D}y. \quad (13)$$

The result is a representation of the effective Lagrangian as an integral over the space of all loops with a common center of mass x_0 . From this we get the inverse mass expansion by expanding the (path ordered) interaction exponential, using $\dot{y}^\mu = \dot{x}^\mu$. If we take the background gauge field to be in Fock-Schwinger gauge with respect to x_0 ,

$$y^\mu A_\mu(x_0 + y) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for all } y, \quad (14)$$

the gauge field may be written as

$$A_\mu(x_0 + y) = y^\rho \int_0^1 d\eta \eta F_{\rho\mu}(x_0 + \eta y) \quad (15)$$

and $F_{\rho\mu}$ as well as V can be Taylor-expanded covariantly,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\rho\mu}(x_0 + \eta y) &= e^{\eta y^D} F_{\rho\mu}(x_0), \\ V(x_0 + y) &= e^{y^D} V(x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Thus there is a covariant Taylor expansion of the gauge field A ,

$$A_\mu(x_0 + y) = \int_0^1 d\eta \eta y^\rho e^{\eta y^D} F_{\rho\mu}(x_0) = \frac{1}{2} y^\rho F_{\rho\mu} + \frac{1}{3} y^\nu y^\rho D_\nu F_{\rho\mu} + \dots \quad (17)$$

Using the formulae above we obtain a manifestly covariant expansion of eq. (5), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[F, V] &= \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \text{tr} \int d^d x_0 \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n} T \int \mathcal{D}y \exp \left[- \int_0^T d\tau \frac{\dot{y}^2}{4} \right] \\ &\times \int_0^{\tau_1=T} d\tau_2 \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau_3 \dots \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} d\tau_n \prod_{j=1}^n \left[e^{y(\tau_j) D_{(j)}} V^{(j)}(x_0) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i g \dot{y}^{\mu_j}(\tau_j) y^{\rho_j}(\tau_j) \int_0^1 d\eta_j \eta_j e^{\eta_j y(\tau_j) D_{(j)}} F_{\rho_j \mu_j}^{(j)}(x_0) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where the first τ -integration has been eliminated by using the freedom of choosing the point $\tau = 0$ somewhere on the loop, which produces a factor of T/n . The normalization is such that in the noninteracting case

$$\text{tr } \mathcal{P} \int \mathcal{D}y \exp \left[- \int_0^T d\tau \left(\frac{\dot{y}^2}{4} \right) \right] = (4\pi T)^{-d/2} . \quad (19)$$

The calculation of the inverse mass expansion to some fixed order N requires the following steps:

(i) *Wick-contractions*: The sum in eq. (18) has to be truncated at $n = N$ and all possible Wick-contractions have to be performed using the contraction rules for exponentials well known from string theory,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e^{y(\tau_1)\partial_{(1)}} e^{y(\tau_2)\partial_{(2)}} \rangle &= e^{-G(\tau_1, \tau_2)\partial_{(1)}\partial_{(2)}} , \\ \langle \dot{y}^\mu(\tau_1) e^{y(\tau_1)\partial_{(1)}} e^{y(\tau_2)\partial_{(2)}} \rangle &= -\dot{G}_B(\tau_1, \tau_2)\partial_{(2)}^\mu e^{-G_B(\tau_1, \tau_2)\partial_{(1)}\partial_{(2)}} , \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and so on. In the covariant formulation the Wick-contractions lead to ordered exponentials of the form

$$\exp \left[- \sum_{i < k} \eta_i \eta_k G_B(\tau_i, \tau_k) D^{(i)} D^{(k)} \right] , \quad (21)$$

where the ordering of $D^{(i)}$'s in the exponential series with different i 's corresponds to the ordering of the $F^{(i)}$ and $V^{(i)}$, while any polynomial in $D^{(i)}$ for fixed i has to be written in all possible orderings divided by the total number of orderings. Additional factors of $D^{(i)}$ have to be handled in the same way. Finally, the ordered exponentials have to be expanded to the required order. Alternatively one might use the explicit Taylor-expansions of F and V where one would be left with a much larger number of Wick-contractions of polynomials using the formulae (9).

(ii) *Integrations*: The next step is to perform the τ - and η -integrations, which are polynomial. The integrands of the τ -integrations consist of the worldline Green function G_B and its first and second derivative. Using the scaling properties of these functions, a rescaling to the unit circle is possible.

(iii) *Cyclic reduction*: Structures which differ only by cyclic permutation under the trace have to be identified. This reduces the number of terms drastically.

(iv) *Bianchi identities*: In a last step one has to exploit Bianchi identities to reduce the result to a standard minimal basis. This is of course relevant only for the gauged case and requires – in contrast to the items (i)–(iii) – a more detailed analysis.

Let us in the following concentrate on the special case of a scalar background (cf. [12]). Eq. (18) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[V] = & \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} e^{-m^2 T} \text{tr} \int d^d x_0 \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n} T \int_0^{\tau_1=T} d\tau_2 \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau_3 \dots \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} d\tau_n \\ & \times \int \mathcal{D}y e^{y(\tau_1)\partial_{(1)}} V^{(1)}(x_0) \dots e^{y(\tau_n)\partial_{(n)}} V^{(n)}(x_0) \exp\left[-\int_0^T d\tau \frac{\dot{y}^2}{4}\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

For the Wick contractions only the contraction rule for exponentials is needed, giving

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[V] = & \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} [4\pi T]^{-d/2} e^{-m^2 T} \text{tr} \int d^d x_0 \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n}{n} T \int_0^{\tau_1=T} d\tau_2 \int_0^{\tau_2} d\tau_3 \dots \int_0^{\tau_{n-1}} d\tau_n \\ & \times \exp\left[-T \sum_{i < k} G(u_i, u_k) \partial_{(i)} \partial_{(k)}\right] V^{(1)}(x_0) \dots V^{(n)}(x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Finally, by rescaling to the unit circle, $\tau_i = T u_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and using the scaling property of the Green function, $G(\tau_1, \tau_2) = T G(u_1, u_2)$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma[V] = & \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} [4\pi T]^{-d/2} e^{-m^2 T} \text{tr} \int d^d x_0 \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-T)^n}{n} \int_0^{u_1=1} du_2 \int_0^{u_2} du_3 \dots \int_0^{u_{n-1}} du_n \\ & \times \exp\left[-T \sum_{i < k} G(u_i, u_k) \partial_{(i)} \partial_{(k)}\right] V^{(1)}(x_0) \dots V^{(n)}(x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The inverse mass expansion to some fixed order in T can now be simply obtained by expanding the exponential, performing a number of multi-polynomial integrations and identifying terms, which are equivalent due to cyclic permutations under the trace. The result has the form

$$\Gamma[V] = \int_0^\infty \frac{dT}{T} [4\pi T]^{-d/2} e^{-m^2 T} \sum_{n=1}^\infty (-T)^n \text{tr} O_n, \quad (25)$$

With a complete computerization of the method a calculation of the coefficients up to O_{11} was achieved. We used the algebraic languages FORM [13] and – for identifying cyclic redundancies – PERL [14]. We did not perform the final T -integration, for it simply yields a Γ -function for any fixed order in T . Poles of these Γ -functions correspond to terms of the effective action which have to be renormalized at one loop for the dimension of spacetime considered.

In the following the results to $\mathcal{O}(T^8)$ are quoted, using the shorthand-notation $V_{\kappa\lambda} \equiv \partial_\kappa \partial_\lambda V(x_0)$:

$$O_1 = \int dx_0 V$$

$$O_2 = \frac{1}{2!} \int dx_0 V^2$$

$$O_3 = \frac{1}{3!} \int dx_0 \left(V^3 + \frac{1}{2} V_\kappa V_\kappa \right)$$

$$O_4 = \frac{1}{4!} \int dx_0 \left(V^4 + 2V V_\kappa V_\kappa + \frac{1}{5} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda} \right)$$

$$O_5 = \frac{1}{5!} \int dx_0 \left(V^5 + 3V^2 V_\kappa V_\kappa + 2V V_\kappa V V_\kappa + V V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{5}{3} V_\kappa V_\lambda V_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{1}{14} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} \right)$$

$$O_6 = \frac{1}{6!} \int dx_0 \left(V^6 + 4V^3 V_\kappa V_\kappa + 6V^2 V_\kappa V V_\kappa + \frac{12}{7} V^2 V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda} \right. \\ + \frac{9}{7} V V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{26}{7} V V_{\kappa\lambda} V_\kappa V_\lambda + \frac{26}{7} V V_\kappa V_\lambda V_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{17}{14} V_\kappa V_\lambda V_\kappa V_\lambda \\ + \frac{18}{7} V V_\kappa V_{\kappa\lambda} V_\lambda + \frac{9}{7} V_\kappa V_\kappa V_\lambda V_\lambda + \frac{3}{7} V V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} + V_\mu V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} \\ \left. + V_\mu V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{11}{21} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\mu} + \frac{1}{42} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \right)$$

$$O_7 = \frac{1}{7!} \int dx_0 \left(V^7 + 5V^4 V_\kappa V_\kappa + 8V^3 V_\kappa V V_\kappa + \frac{9}{2} V^2 V_\kappa V^2 V_\kappa + \frac{5}{2} V^3 V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda} \right. \\ + \frac{9}{2} V^2 V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\kappa\lambda} + 6V^2 V_{\kappa\lambda} V_\kappa V_\lambda + 6V^2 V_\kappa V_\lambda V_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{7}{2} V^2 V_\kappa V_{\kappa\lambda} V_\lambda \\ + \frac{17}{2} V V_\kappa V_\lambda V_\kappa V_\lambda + \frac{7}{2} V V_\kappa V_\kappa V_\lambda V_\lambda + \frac{11}{2} V V_\kappa V_\lambda V_\lambda V_\kappa + \frac{11}{2} V V_\kappa V V_{\kappa\lambda} V_\lambda \\ + \frac{11}{2} V V_\kappa V V_\lambda V_{\kappa\lambda} + \frac{17}{2} V V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_\kappa V_\lambda + \frac{2}{3} V V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} + \frac{5}{6} V^2 V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} \\ + \frac{5}{2} V V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_\mu + \frac{17}{6} V V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_\kappa V_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{5}{3} V V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_\mu + \frac{17}{6} V V_{\kappa\lambda} V_\mu V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} \\ \left. + \frac{5}{2} V V_\kappa V_{\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} + \frac{5}{3} V V_\kappa V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{5}{3} V_\kappa V_\kappa V_{\lambda\mu} V_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{11}{6} V_\kappa V_{\lambda\mu} V_\kappa V_{\lambda\mu} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{35}{9} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} + \frac{11}{3} V V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{35}{18} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu} V_{\mu} + \frac{35}{18} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa} \\
& + \frac{97}{18} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} + \frac{43}{18} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\mu} V_{\lambda} + \frac{1}{6} V V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} \\
& + \frac{1}{2} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\lambda\mu\nu} + \frac{7}{10} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} + \frac{16}{15} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu\nu} V_{\lambda\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{132} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho} \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
O_8 = \frac{1}{8!} \int dx_0 \Big(& V^8 + 12 V_{\kappa} V^2 V_{\kappa} V^3 + 10 V_{\kappa} V V_{\kappa} V^4 + 6 V_{\kappa} V V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V V_{\lambda} \\
& + 14 V_{\kappa} V V_{\kappa\lambda} V^2 V_{\lambda} + 6 V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa} V^5 + \frac{22}{3} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa} V V_{\lambda} V V_{\lambda} + \frac{20}{9} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa} V V_{\lambda} V_{\lambda} V \\
& + \frac{26}{3} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V^2 V_{\lambda} + \frac{22}{3} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V V_{\lambda} V + \frac{40}{9} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V_{\lambda} V^2 + \frac{14}{3} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu} V V_{\lambda\mu} \\
& + \frac{76}{9} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda} V^3 V_{\lambda} + \frac{26}{3} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda} V^2 V_{\lambda} V + \frac{22}{3} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\lambda} V^2 + \frac{86}{9} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V V_{\lambda\mu} \\
& + \frac{394}{45} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} + 6 V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\lambda\mu} V + 6 V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\mu} V_{\lambda} + \frac{16}{3} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V^2 V_{\lambda\mu} \\
& + \frac{86}{9} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} + \frac{14}{3} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V V_{\lambda\mu} V + \frac{172}{99} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\nu} V_{\lambda\mu\nu} \\
& + \frac{56}{33} V_{\kappa} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V V_{\lambda\mu\nu} + \frac{70}{9} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V + \frac{124}{9} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V_{\kappa} V V_{\lambda} V \\
& + \frac{112}{9} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V^2 + 4 V_{\kappa\lambda} V^2 V_{\kappa\lambda} V^2 + 12 V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\kappa} V V_{\lambda} V + 14 V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V^2 \\
& + \frac{20}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\kappa\lambda} V^3 + \frac{22}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V V_{\mu} + \frac{40}{9} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V^3 V_{\lambda} + \frac{22}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V^2 V_{\lambda} V \\
& + \frac{26}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V V_{\lambda} V^2 + \frac{76}{9} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V^3 + 6 V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\mu} + \frac{86}{9} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu} V V_{\mu} \\
& + 6 V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu} V_{\mu} V + \frac{394}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V_{\mu} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} + \frac{238}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V_{\mu} V_{\lambda\mu} V \\
& + \frac{146}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa} V_{\mu} V_{\mu} V_{\lambda} + \frac{10}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda} V^4 + \frac{12}{5} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\mu} V_{\mu} + \frac{58}{15} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V V_{\mu} \\
& + \frac{12}{5} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\mu} V + \frac{20}{33} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu\nu} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{22}{5} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V^2 V_{\mu} \\
& + \frac{58}{15} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V V_{\mu} V + \frac{12}{5} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\mu} V^2 + \frac{838}{495} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\mu\nu} V_{\nu} \\
& + \frac{290}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\nu} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{362}{165} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{290}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\mu} V_{\nu} \\
& + \frac{142}{15} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} + \frac{128}{15} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V V_{\lambda\mu} V + \frac{238}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V V_{\mu} V_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{466}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\lambda} V V_{\mu} + \frac{142}{15} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} V + \frac{92}{15} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\lambda\mu} V^2 \\
& + \frac{334}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\lambda\nu} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{146}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\mu} V V_{\lambda} + \frac{238}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\mu} V_{\lambda} V \\
& + \frac{32}{55} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu} V_{\mu\nu} V_{\lambda\nu} + \frac{212}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\kappa\mu\nu} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{626}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} \\
& + \frac{622}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu} V + \frac{22}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\mu} + \frac{22}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V \\
& + \frac{131}{165} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{22}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V + \frac{8}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V^2 + 6 V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa} V V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} \\
& + \frac{14}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa} V V_{\lambda\mu} V + 6 V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V V_{\mu} + \frac{86}{9} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu} V + \frac{16}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu} V^2 \\
& + \frac{172}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\nu} + \frac{412}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\nu} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{12}{5} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V^2 V_{\mu} + \frac{58}{15} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\mu} V \\
& + \frac{22}{5} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V^2 + \frac{290}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu\nu} V_{\nu} + \frac{838}{495} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\nu} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{4}{3} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V^3 \\
& + \frac{10}{11} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\nu} V_{\nu} + \frac{46}{33} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V V_{\nu} + \frac{10}{11} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\nu} V + \frac{2}{5} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho} V_{\nu\rho} \\
& + \frac{442}{165} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\nu} V V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{2062}{495} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\nu} V_{\mu} V_{\nu} + \frac{442}{165} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\nu} V_{\mu\nu} V \\
& + \frac{838}{495} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\lambda\nu} V_{\nu} V_{\mu} + \frac{628}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\nu} V_{\lambda} V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{212}{45} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\nu} V_{\lambda\mu} V_{\nu} \\
& + \frac{524}{165} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\nu} V_{\lambda\mu\nu} V + \frac{412}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\kappa\nu} V_{\lambda\nu} V_{\mu} + \frac{12}{11} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\nu} \\
& + \frac{10}{33} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V + \frac{344}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu} V_{\nu} + \frac{56}{33} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa} V_{\lambda\mu\nu} V \\
& + \frac{40}{33} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V V_{\mu\nu} + \frac{290}{99} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu} V_{\nu} + \frac{362}{165} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda} V_{\mu\nu} V \\
& + \frac{10}{11} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V V_{\nu} + \frac{46}{33} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\nu} V + \frac{4}{11} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V^2 \\
& + \frac{2}{9} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho} V_{\rho} + \frac{296}{495} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\rho} V_{\nu\rho} + \frac{134}{165} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\kappa\lambda\rho} V_{\mu\nu\rho} \\
& + \frac{2}{5} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu} V_{\nu\rho} + \frac{2}{9} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} V_{\rho} + \frac{2}{33} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho} V \\
& + \frac{1}{429} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho\sigma} V_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

The following points about the calculation above should be emphasized:

(i) Though the variable u_1 has been eliminated, cyclic invariance has not been broken. All cyclic permutations of a given term actually occur during the calculation. The polynomial integrations yield the same factor for terms, which are equivalent by cyclic permutation under the trace. This is a consequence of the translational invariance of our Green function. Therefore identification of equivalent terms can easily be done.

(ii) The final result has a unique minimal form, which does not contain any box operators ∂^2 . Since the Green function G has the property $G(u_i, u_i) = 0$, there are no self-contractions of exponentials and box operators can never occur. Therefore no partial integrations with respect to x_0 were needed to obtain the result in a minimal basis. The fact that the set of all terms containing no box operators is a basis for the space of all Lorentz scalars which can be constructed with ∂ and V alone is obvious, as one can always remove box operators by partial integrations. Furthermore, it is not difficult to see that it is impossible to construct total derivatives containing no box operators. This shows the minimality of our basis in the sense that it does not contain redundant terms (it does *not* strictly prove that the number of terms making up this basis is, for any fixed mass dimension, the minimal possible one, though we consider this to be likely).

Finally, let us try to make clear what is at the root of the gain in efficiency over standard heat kernel methods. For this purpose, observe that our choice of the background charge in eq. (7) was a mere matter of convenience; one could have used any periodic function ρ here fulfilling the constraint

$$\int_0^T d\tau \rho(\tau) = 1. \quad (26)$$

To solve eq. (7) for general ρ , one can first solve it for the case

$$\rho(\tau) = \delta(\tau - \sigma), \quad (27)$$

leading to

$$G(\tau_1, \tau_2; \sigma) = |\tau_1 - \tau_2| - |\tau_1 - \sigma| - |\tau_2 - \sigma| + \frac{2}{T}(\tau_1 - \sigma)(\tau_2 - \sigma), \quad (28)$$

and then convolute the result with ρ :

$$G^{(\rho)}(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \int_0^T d\sigma \rho(\sigma) G(\tau_1, \tau_2; \sigma). \quad (29)$$

Any such G^ρ could be used as a Green function for the evaluation of the path integral, and would lead to a different effective Lagrangian.

To see which choice of ρ corresponds to the standard heat kernel, we compare with the Feynman–Kac path integral representation of the heat kernel [15]. This path integral arises from our eq. (5) by a split of the $\mathcal{D}x$ -integral different from eq. (13), namely

$$\begin{aligned}\int \mathcal{D}x &= \int d^d x_0 \int \mathcal{D}y \\ x^\mu(\tau) &= x_0^\mu + y^\mu(\tau) \\ y^\mu(0) &= y^\mu(T) = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

In this case, the effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(x_0)$ is therefore obtained as a path integral over the space of all loops intersecting in x_0 , instead of having x_0 as their common center of mass. The Green function appropriate to this boundary condition for y^μ is

$$G(\tau_1, \tau_2) = |\tau_1 - \tau_2| - \tau_1 - \tau_2 + \frac{2}{T}\tau_1\tau_2\tag{31}$$

corresponding to the choice

$$\rho(\tau) = \delta(\tau) .\tag{32}$$

Following earlier work by Onofri [16], this Green function was applied by Zuk to the calculation of the higher derivative expansion both for the ungauged and the gauged case [17] (see also [18]). However, the resulting form of the effective Lagrangian turns out to be highly redundant, as has already been discussed in [12]. This is intuitively reasonable, as the split of the path integral which we have been using is clearly the most “symmetric” one.

To summarize, we have applied the “string-inspired” method of evaluating one-loop worldline path integrals to the calculation of the inverse mass expansion of the one-loop effective action. The difference between our approach and earlier heat kernel calculations has been traced to the different boundary conditions imposed on the path integral. For the ungauged case, we have completely computerized the method, and obtained the order $\mathcal{O}(T^{11})$ of this expansion (the complete result of this calculation is available on request). Computerization of the gauged case is in progress. Inclusion of background gravitational fields is under consideration, as well as a two-loop generalization based on the construction of generalized worldline Green functions [19].

References

- [1] R. D. Ball, Phys. Rept. **182** (1989) 1
I. G. Avramidi, Nucl. Phys. **B 355** (1991) 712
- [2] J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82** (1951) 664
- [3] A. O. Barvinsky, G. A. Vilkovisky, Nucl. Phys. **B 282** (1987) 163;
Nucl. Phys. **B 333** (1990) 471; Nucl. Phys. **B 333** (1990) 512
- [4] D. Ebert, A. A. Belkov, A. V. Lanyov, A. Schaale,
Int. Journ. Mod. Phys. **A 8** (1993) 1313
- [5] L. Carson, L. McLerran, Phys. Rev. **D 41** (1990) 647
M. Hellmund, J. Kripfganz, M. G. Schmidt, HD-THEP-93-23,
hep-ph/9307284 (to appear in Phys. Rev. **D**)
- [6] L. Carson, Phys. Rev. **D 42** (1990) 2853
- [7] A. van de Ven, Nucl. Phys. **B 250** (1985) 593
- [8] Z. Bern, D. A. Kosower, Phys. Rev. Lett. **B 66** (1991) 1669;
Nucl. Phys. **B 379** (1992) 451
Z. Bern, D. C. Dunbar, Nucl. Phys. **B 379** (1992) 562
- [9] M. J. Strassler, Nucl. Phys. **B 385** (1992) 145
- [10] A. M. Polyakov, “Gauge Fields and Strings”, Harwood (1987)
- [11] M. G. Schmidt, C. Schubert, Phys. Lett. **B 318** (1993) 438,
hep-th/9309055
- [12] D. Fliegner, M. G. Schmidt, C. Schubert, Z. Phys. **C 64** (1994) 111,
hep-ph/9401221
- [13] J. A. M. Vermaseren, “Symbolic Manipulation with FORM
(Version 2)”, CAN (1991)
- [14] L. Wall, R. L. Schwartz, “Programming PERL”, O’Reilly (1990)
- [15] Y. Fujiwara, T. A. Osborn, S. F. J. Wilk, Phys. Rev. **A 25** (1982) 14
- [16] E. Onofri, Am. J. Phys. **46** (1978) 379
- [17] J. A. Zuk, Journ. Phys. **A 18** (1985) 1795;
Phys. Rev. **D 34** (1986) 1791
- [18] D. G. C. McKeon, Can. J. Phys. **70** (1992) 652
- [19] M. G. Schmidt, C. Schubert, Phys. Lett. **B 331** (1994) 69,
hep-th/9403158